Contact angle and inertia effects on the instability of partially wetting liquid rivulets. Finite Element analysis
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We study numerically the stability of a thread of fluid deposited on a flat solid substrate by solving the full 3D Navier-Stokes equations. A good agreement is observed between our results and predictions from linear stability analysis obtained by other authors. Moreover, we also analysed the influence of inertia for different contact angles and found that inertia strongly affects the growth rate of the instability when contact angles are large. Our finite element analysis allows us to follow the evolution of the free surface instability until comparatively late stages, where the filament begins to break into droplets. The rupture pattern observed for several cases shows that the number of principal droplets agrees reasonably well with an estimation based on the fastest growing modes.

I. INTRODUCTION

This paper addresses the instability of a slender strip of fluid that is deposited on a flat solid surface. Liquid ribbons like these can be seen in everyday life, as on car windscreens or in breaking uniform fluid films. Their study is also important in applications like Direct-Write1–4, printed electronics5 and material functionalisation6, which are different kinds of micro- and nano-fluidics applications7. The knowledge of the stability properties of this system is of fundamental importance, either because the breakup into droplets is an unwanted phenomenon or because a regular rupture pattern is desired. It is also a scientifically interesting problem in its own right.

The seminal work of Davis8 reveals that fluid rivulets are always unstable to perturbations with wave number (k) larger than a critical value (kC), unless the contact line is fixed and the contact angle (θ) satisfies θ < π/2.

Subsequent works have found that the influence of both axial flows9,10 and gravity11,12 have a stabilising effect, while van de Waals interactions12 have a slightly opposite effect. The stability of liquid threads deposited in a wedge has also been studied13–15. The paper by Diez, González, and Kondic12 provides a detailed account of linear stability analyses carried out in previous works.

In general, linear stability analyses assume rivulets of “infinite” length subject to periodic perturbations. In practice however, this is a condition hardly realised since eventually these filaments have ends. Several experimental studies16,17 tend to display an instability that progresses from these ends to the centre of the filament, although others6 observed that and effects are weak the instability progresses almost uniformly when the fluid strip is sufficiently narrow. Both the fixed and mobile contact line boundary conditions were experimentally realised by Schiaffino and Sonin18.

The stability of liquid rivulets have been also simulated numerically. Most of these studies12,15,19 solve model equations based on the lubrication approximation, giving fairly good results for relatively viscous fluids and moderate contact angles. Only very recently the first studies appeared where the full 3D Stokes20 or Navier-Stokes21 equations are solved. Ghigliotti, Zhou, and Feng20 employed the phase-field method to analyse the instability of finite and “infinite” (periodicity conditions at both ends) rivulets ignoring inertia, while Fowlkes et al.21 used the volume-of-fluid method to follow the rupture process of the filament when inertia terms are included, although in the particular range of parameters studied these effects were not exceedingly strong.

Therefore, this paper focuses on one aspect of the problem not sufficiently explored until now: the influence of inertia on the stability of the system, particularly for large contact angles. In spite of the small cross section of the filaments that are relevant to, say, printed electronics applications, the use of fluids of comparatively low viscosity or and large density raise the question of whether or not it is a valid approximation to ignore this effect. We also compare our results with those from previous works. Our model considers a fragment of a Newtonian liquid thread, whose shape repeats periodically on both sides of the modelled segment. Physico-chemical properties are assumed constant, as well as the dynamic contact angle (which equals the static one). The contact line singularity is relieved by a Navier’s slip condition22. The governing equations are solved numerically by the Finite Ele-
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moment Method, combined with an Arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian technique, that allows us to follow the time evolution of the liquid thread after an initial perturbation until late stages of the evolution, where the filament breaks into droplets.

II. PHYSICAL MODEL

A filament of a Newtonian fluid with constant properties (density $\rho$, viscosity $\mu$ and surface tension $\sigma$) rests on a flat solid surface (see Fig. 1). The surrounding air is quiescent and regarded as inviscid; its constant pressure is taken as the reference pressure of the system and arbitrarily set to zero. In an undisturbed state, the rivulet of dimensionless length $kR$ is taken as the reference pressure of the system and an ar- quiescent and regarded as inviscid; its constant pressure exerts a normal stress at the liquid–air interface

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \hat{T} = \kappa \mathbf{n},$$

$\kappa$ being the (dimensionless) curvature of the free surface, $\nabla_S = \mathbf{I}_S \cdot \nabla$ the (dimensionless) surface gradient operator and $\mathbf{I}_S = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}$ the surface identity tensor.

At both ends of the liquid thread (at $x = 0$ and $x = \pi/k$) symmetry conditions are applied, both for the velocity field and the shape of the free surface.

On the liquid–solid interface, the no-slip condition ($\hat{v} = 0$) is applied, except over a narrow region close to the moving contact line. Over this narrow region, a Navier’s slip condition is employed to relieve the stress singularity at the contact line

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \hat{T} \cdot \mathbf{t} = -\frac{1}{L} \mathbf{t} \cdot \hat{v},$$

where $\mathbf{t}$ is any unit vector tangent to the solid–liquid interface, $L \equiv L_S/R$ is the dimensionless slip-length and $L_S$ its dimensional counterpart, a phenomenological parameter that can be interpreted as the distance down into the substrate at which the extrapolated velocity profile becomes zero. Besides, since the solid substrate is impermeable, the normal component of the velocity is zero, $\hat{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_z = 0$.

At the moving contact line, the contact angle ($\theta$) needs to be prescribed.

$$\mu \cdot \mathbf{e}_z = -\sin \theta,$$

$\mu$ being a unit vector normal to the contact line and tangent to the free surface.

In the next section we explain briefly the numerical technique employed to solve the governing equations stated above.

III. NUMERICAL METHOD

The equations modelling the time evolution of the liquid thread, eqs. 2 and 3, along with the boundary conditions stated in the previous section, were solved numerically by the Finite Element Method (FEM). Notice

$$\begin{align*}
\hat{A} & \equiv \frac{A}{R^2} = \theta - \sin 2\theta,\\
\hat{A} & \text{ being the dimensionless cross section area of the undisturbed static rivulet.}
\end{align*}$$

In order to study the stability of the thread of fluid, we impose an axial sinusoidal perturbation to its radius, whose amplitude is $A$ and wave number is $k$, but taking into consideration that the volume of the original strip of fluid is preserved. We analyse the time evolution of a fragment of the rivulet of dimensionless length $\pi/k$ ($k \equiv kR$), i.e. half a wavelength of the initial perturbation.

The motion of the liquid is governed by the equations of Navier-Stokes and continuity, which in dimensionless form read

$$La \left( \frac{\partial \hat{v}}{\partial t} + \hat{v} \cdot \nabla \hat{v} \right) = -\nabla \hat{p} + \nabla \cdot \hat{\tau} - Bo \mathbf{e}_z,$$

$$\nabla \cdot \hat{v} = 0,$$

where lengths were made dimensionless with $R$, velocities with $\sigma/\mu$, time with $R\mu/\sigma$ and pressure/stresses with $\sigma/R$. $\hat{\tau} \equiv [\nabla \hat{v} + (\nabla \hat{v})^T]$ is the viscous part of the (dimensionless) stress tensor, $\hat{T} \equiv -\hat{p} \mathbf{I} + \hat{\tau}$, while $-Bo \mathbf{e}_z$ represents the dimensionless acceleration due to gravity.

The dimensionless parameters are the Laplace number ($La \equiv \rho R/\mu^2$) and the Bond number ($Bo \equiv \rho g R^2/\sigma$).

The liquid–air interface is a material surface, therefore the following kinematic condition applies

$$\left( \hat{v} - \hat{x}_{FS} \right) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0,$$

$n$ being the external unit normal vector and $\hat{x}_{FS}$ the (dimensionless) velocity of the free surface. Surface tension exerts a normal stress at the liquid–air interface

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \hat{T} = \kappa \mathbf{n},$$

$\kappa$ being the (dimensionless) curvature of the free surface, $\nabla_S = \mathbf{I}_S \cdot \nabla$ the (dimensionless) surface gradient operator and $\mathbf{I}_S = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}$ the surface identity tensor.

At both ends of the liquid thread (at $x = 0$ and $x = \pi/k$) symmetry conditions are applied, both for the velocity field and the shape of the free surface.

On the liquid–solid interface, the no-slip condition ($\hat{v} = 0$) is applied, except over a narrow region close to the moving contact line. Over this narrow region, a Navier’s slip condition is employed to relieve the stress singularity at the contact line

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \hat{T} \cdot \mathbf{t} = -\frac{1}{L} \mathbf{t} \cdot \hat{v},$$

where $\mathbf{t}$ is any unit vector tangent to the solid–liquid interface, $L \equiv L_S/R$ is the dimensionless slip-length and $L_S$ its dimensional counterpart, a phenomenological parameter that can be interpreted as the distance down into the substrate at which the extrapolated velocity profile becomes zero. Besides, since the solid substrate is impermeable, the normal component of the velocity is zero, $\hat{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_z = 0$.

At the moving contact line, the contact angle ($\theta$) needs to be prescribed.

$$\mu \cdot \mathbf{e}_z = -\sin \theta,$$

$\mu$ being a unit vector normal to the contact line and tangent to the free surface.

In the next section we explain briefly the numerical technique employed to solve the governing equations stated above.
that the domain where equations are solved is a pri-
ori unknown and changes with time. There are sev-
eral numerical techniques aimed at tackling free bound-
ary problems like this, traditionally classified as “in-
terface capturing” (e.g. Volume of Fluid\textsuperscript{25}, Level-Set\textsuperscript{26} and Diffuse-Interface\textsuperscript{27}) and “interface tracking” (e.g. Lagrangian\textsuperscript{28} and Arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian\textsuperscript{29,30} techniques).

In this paper we employ an Arbitrary Lagrangian Eu-
erian (ALE) formulation embedded in the FEM soft-
ware COMSOL Multiphysics\textsuperscript{31}. In ALE techniques (and in Lagrangian techniques as well) the numerical mesh follows and adapts to the distorting domain (the liquid rivulet in our case), but grid points do not necessarily fol-
low material points. In particular, we use the so-called “Winslow smoothing method”\textsuperscript{32}, which specifies that the initial position, $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{x}, t)$, of mesh points currently situated at $\mathbf{x}$, is governed by the equation

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{X} = 0. \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

The boundary conditions at the free surface for eq. 8 are obtained from the application of the Lagrange multi-
pliers technique\textsuperscript{33} to eq. 4. The remaining boundary con-
ditions are zero normal derivatives ($\partial \mathbf{X}/\partial \mathbf{n} = 0$) except where essential boundary conditions apply (at the solid substrate $\mathbf{Z} = \hat{z} = 0$, at the symmetry planes $\mathbf{X} = \hat{x} = 0$ and $\mathbf{X} = \hat{x} = \pi/\hat{k}$).

COMSOL solves eqs. 2, 3 and 8 along with their bound-
dary conditions by means of the Galerkin/FEM. The do-
main is discretised in an unstructured mesh of tetrahe-
dra. Velocities and space coordinates are approximated by quadratic Lagrangian basis functions, while pressure is interpolated by linear Lagrangian basis functions. A variable order, totally implicit, finite difference scheme is employed for time discretisation. Newton iteration is used to solve the resulting set of non-linear algebraic equations. A more detailed description of the numeri-
tical technique and its validation can be found in Ubal et al.\textsuperscript{34}, where the authors study the deposition of a line of fluid on a plane substrate, rather than the stability of an already deposited filament, as is the case in this work.

A. Setting up the numerical experiments

Each numerical experiment starts with the fluid at rest, with the shape of the fluid strip possessing an initial sinusoidal perturbation to its radius, the perturbation wave-
length being $2\pi/\hat{k}$ and its amplitude being $\hat{B}$. In this work we have employed a value of $\hat{B} = 0.01$. From this initial condition, the simulation evolves in time, and two different behaviours develop, depending on the set of pa-
rameters employed: a stable time evolution (the pertur-
bation decays and finally a straight rivulet is observed), or an unstable one (the perturbation grows and the free surface evolves towards a rupture pattern).

The main parameters of the problem are $\theta$ (or equival-
ently $A$), $La$, $Bo$ and $k$. In addition, there are other parameters that need to be specified, including the mesh size, the width of the region (on the substrate, adjacent to the contact line) where the slip condition applies, and the value of the slip-length ($L$). The simulations pre-
presented in this paper were carried out for $L = 0.05$, a width for the slip region equal to 5% of the strip local width (which changes in time) and a mesh whose ele-
ments, at $t = 0$, vary from a minimum size of 0.05 (near the contact line) to a maximum size of 0.8 (near the up-
permost part of the filament, for those cases with a large $\theta$). We carried out several tests aimed to study the sen-
sitivity of the results to these parameters. For $\theta = \pi/6$, $La = 4.11$, $Bo = 0.132$ and $k = 1.2$ we observed that the linear growth rate ($\alpha$, see definition in sec. IV A): (a) varies less than 5% when $L$ changes from 0.005 to 0.5, for a slip region of 5% of the local strip width; (b) varies less than 10% when the slip region changes from 1% to 10% of the local strip width, for $L = 0.05$. We also observed that the critical wave number determined ($\hat{k}_C$) is insen-
tive to either of these parameters. Independence of the results on the mesh size was verified as well. Finally, we successfully compared our numerical results against the-
oretical predictions from other authors, as will be seen in sec. IV A.

IV. RESULTS

A. Comparison with previous works

Figure 2 shows the critical (marginal) wave numbers (scaled with the square root of the cross section area, $\hat{k}_C\hat{A}^{1/2}$) as a function of the contact angle ($\theta$). The solid curve corresponds to the predictions of Davis\textsuperscript{8} and the symbols to our numerical results: crosses correspond to unstable time evolutions, and circles to stable ones. In the paper by Davis\textsuperscript{8} gravity is neglected, and inertia is not relevant in the determination of $\hat{k}_C$. Our model however includes these effects: we set $La\hat{A}^{1/2} = 1.24$ and $Bo\hat{A} = 0.012$, i.e. inertia and gravity effects are both kept small. This combination of parameters corre-
sponds to the fact that our numerical experiments were carried out for strips with a fixed (dimensional) cross section area. Considering for example $\rho = 1000 \text{kg m}^{-3}$, $\sigma = 0.05 \text{N m}^{-1}$, $\mu = 0.1 \text{Pa s}$ and $g = 9.8 \text{m s}^{-2}$, the resulting cross section area is $A = 6.1 \times 10^{-8} \text{m}^2$. Note however (see eq. 1) that in order to keep $A$ constant, $R$ diminishes as $\theta$ increases from 0 to $\pi$.

Figure 2 shows that our stable/unstable results are correctly situated above/below the prediction of Davis. However, the “resolution” in $\hat{k}\hat{A}^{1/2}$ employed (minimum difference between wave numbers tested) in our simulations was poorer for larger $\theta$.

We also evaluated the growth rate ($\alpha$) of the dis-
turbance applied to the strip shape, at early stages of the time evolution. To this end, we performed a Dis-
crete Fourier Transform of the shape of the strip width
Our results were computed for $La = 1.24$ and $Bo\hat{A} = 0.012$. For fixed values of density ($\rho = 1000\,\text{kg}\,\text{m}^{-3}$), surface tension ($\sigma = 0.05\,\text{N}\,\text{m}^{-1}$) and gravity acceleration ($g = 9.8\,\text{m}\,\text{s}^{-2}$), this means that fluid lines have constant cross section area ($\hat{A} = 0.380$) as a function of the contact angle. Our results were computed for $La\hat{A}^{1/2} = 1.24$ and $Bo\hat{A} = 0.012$. Symbols are the actual values of $\alpha$ computed numerically; curves represent 5th order polynomial fits of these data.

$6.1 \times 10^{-8}\,\text{m}^2$).

1. Results for early times of the evolution

We first restrict our analysis to the early times of the evolution of the process, paying particular attention to the linear (exponential) growth rates $\alpha$. Figure 4 shows the dispersion relationship $\alpha$ as a function of $k$ for $\theta = \pi/6 (\hat{A} = 0.0906)$, for $La\hat{A}^{1/2} = 1.24$ ($\mu = 0.1\,\text{Pa}\,\text{s}$, squares and dashed curve), $La\hat{A}^{1/2} = 1.24\times10^2 (\mu = 0.01\,\text{Pa}\,\text{s}$, triangles and dot-dashed curve) and $La\hat{A}^{1/2} = 1.24\times10^4 (\mu = 0.001\,\text{Pa}\,\text{s}$, circles and solid curve). The symbols represent the actual outcome from the numerical simulations (and their subsequent post-processing), while the curves are polynomial fits of 5th order of these data.

Figure 4 clearly shows that the influence of inertia is moderate: growth rates seem first to increase slightly and then decrease noticeably. $\alpha$ diminishes by roughly a 20% from the smallest to the largest $La$ computed, while $k_M$ (the wave number of the fastest growing mode) increases slightly.

We obtained similar results for $\theta = \pi/2 (\hat{A} = 0.2/2)$ and the same values of $La\hat{A}^{1/2}$; these are displayed in Fig. 5. Now the influence of inertia is more important. Compared to the case for $La\hat{A}^{1/2} = 1.24$, the values of $\alpha$ reduce to 75% and 17% of the reference value, as $La$ increases in steps of a hundredfold. As before, the influence of inertia on $k_M$ is only weak.

Finally, we also computed the dispersion relationship for $\theta = 3\pi/4 (\hat{A} = 2.86)$ and the same values of $La\hat{A}^{1/2}$. Results are depicted in Fig. 6. In this case the influence of inertia on the maximum growth rate is very important: the value of $\alpha$ computed for the viscous case ($La\hat{A}^{1/2} = 1.24$) is about twice and 14 times larger than the values obtained when $La$ increases by 100 and $10^5$, respectively. Again, the value of $k_M$ undergoes a small increment as...
In previous sections the focus was on the behaviour of the liquid thread at early times of the evolution. Since unstable wave numbers \((k < k_c)\) grow at varying rates — and a fastest growing mode \((k_M)\) is the wave number of this mode — can be identified — it is worth analysing the development of the instability at long times and observing the competition of different modes, when either viscous or inertial effects are dominant. To this end, we study the time evolution of four of the unstable cases already computed in previous sections: (a) \(\theta = \pi/6\) \((\dot{A} = 0.0906)\), \(La\dot{A}^{1/2} = 1.24\) and \(\dot{k} = 0.15\), (b) \(\theta = \pi/6\), \(La\dot{A}^{1/2} = 1.24 \times 10^4\) and \(\dot{k} = 0.15\), (c) \(\theta = 3\pi/4\) \((\dot{A} = 2.86)\), \(La\dot{A}^{1/2} = 1.24\) and \(\dot{k} = 0.1\), and (d) \(\theta = 3\pi/4\), \(La\dot{A}^{1/2} = 1.24 \times 10^4\) and \(\dot{k} = 0.1\). As can be noticed, we chose perturbations with wavelengths several times longer than the fastest growing modes.

Again, these sets of parameters, along with \(Bo\dot{A} = 0.012\), can be interpreted as keeping constant the (dimensional) cross section \((A = 6.1 \times 10^{-8}\text{m}^2)\) if, in addition, we adopt the following fixed values of density \((\rho = 1000\text{kgm}^{-3})\), surface tension \((\sigma = 0.05\text{N}\text{m}^{-1})\) and gravity acceleration \((g = 9.8\text{m}\text{s}^{-2})\).

Figures 7–10 show the results corresponding to cases (a)–(d) above. Each frame depicts the shape of the liquid strip for a given instant of time. Colours illustrate the value of the pressure.

Case (a) (Fig. 7) shows the development of the instability, starting from the initial perturbation (the domain comprises half a wavelength) to the final state that can be attained with our numerical technique, where one of the necks \((\hat{x} \sim 10)\) has practically undergone a pinch-off process. Note that the ALE-based scheme we employ does not support changes in the domain topology. Therefore, if one of the (assuming multiple) necks reaches the pinch-off before others, the process occurring after that point in time can not be observed with the present technique. At \(\hat{t} = 2069\), a number of narrowings appear at \(\hat{x} \sim 7\), 11 and 17 (there is another at \(\hat{x} \sim 20\) originated by the initial condition) where, moreover, the pressure is locally higher owing to the larger curvature of the free surface. As time advances \((\hat{t} = 2852)\) these regions continue thinning and some new ones appear at \(\hat{x} \sim 3\) and 14. The final pattern attained with our scheme \((\hat{t} = 3269)\) suggests the instability process would end up with about 10–11 droplets and at least 3 smaller droplets in a whole wavelength (considering the symmetry of our simulated system, where only a half wavelength is shown). A rough calculation \((\hat{k}_M/\hat{k})\) predicts the formation of about 10–11 droplets of the fastest growing mode, which compares reasonably well with the computations.

When viscosity is decreased (case (b), Fig. 8) and the contact angle is kept small, the instability process observed is practically the same, except for the time scale. In terms of dimensionless time, the first pinch-off \((\hat{x} \sim 10)\) is produced somewhat (1.4 times) later than in case (a). However (and recalling that times are made non-dimensional with \(R\mu/\sigma\)) if one considers that the only change in going from case (a) to (b) is a hundred-fold decrease in viscosity, a simple calculation shows that actually attaining pinch-off in case (b) takes \(\sim 1.4\%\) of the time that it takes in case (a).

Let us now consider increasing the contact angle, compared to case (a), but maintaining the same (dimensional) cross section area (case (c), Fig. 9). This requires to reduce the (dimensional) radius of the straight liquid filament \((R)\) about 5.6 times. After the initial condition \((\hat{t} = 149.3)\), the liquid thread evolves producing two narrowings at \(\hat{x} \sim 7\) and 19, besides the orig-
As can be observed, in this case inertia has important effects on the pattern of break up displayed by the liquid filament. During the early stages (up to $t \approx 2294$) of the instability, one can observe the formation of necks about the same locations as in case (c). It is however in late stages when differences appear. When inertia is increased, those locations attain the pinch off before the other necks. This contrasts the behaviour observed in case (c) (Fig. 9), where the break up of the filament seems to be attained more or less at the same time in all the narrowings. For this reason, it is difficult to establish the final pattern of break up in case (d). One can speculate that the evolution would end up with about 5 large droplets and possibly 3 smaller droplets within a distance of one wavelength, but simulations for longer times are required to ascertain to this point. According to the calculations shown in section IV B1, one could estimate the formation of about 6 droplets, based on the wave number of the fastest growing mode. We can also observe that —as a result of the instability process— become more pronounced than others, evolve faster and, as a consequence, those locations attain the pinch off before the other necks. This contrasts the behaviour observed in case (a). Either in dimensionless or in dimensional terms, a remarkable acceleration of the break-up process is observed when $\theta$ is increased.

Finally, let us take case (c) as a base for comparison, and reduce the viscosity 100 times (case (d), Fig. 10). As can be observed, in this case inertia has important effects on the pattern of break up displayed by the liquid filament. When the first pinch-off ($x \sim 14$) is practically attained ($t \approx 204.0$), the final pattern observed suggest the formation of 5 large droplets along with 5 smaller droplets$^{35}$, in a complete wavelength. The same rough estimate as before predicts the formation of about 6 droplets of the fastest growing mode. In dimensionless terms, the time elapsed until the first pinch-off is a 6.3% of the time demanded in case (a). When the decrease in $R$ is accounted for, one obtains that reaching the pinch-off actually takes considerably less (dimensional) time: 1.1% of that in case (a). Either in dimensionless or in dimensional terms, a remarkable acceleration of the break-up process is observed when $\theta$ is increased.

Finally, let us take case (c) as a base for comparison, and reduce the viscosity 100 times (case (d), Fig. 10). As can be observed, in this case inertia has important effects on the pattern of break up displayed by the liquid filament. During the early stages (up to $t \approx 2294$) of the instability, one can observe the formation of necks about the same locations as in case (c). It is however in late stages when differences appear. When inertia is important compared to viscous forces, the constrictions that—as a result of the instability process—become more pronounced than others, evolve faster and, as a consequence, those locations attain the pinch off before the other necks. This contrasts the behaviour observed in case (c) (Fig. 9), where the break up of the filament seems to be attained more or less at the same time in all the narrowings. For this reason, it is difficult to establish the final pattern of break up in case (d). One can speculate that the evolution would end up with about 5 large droplets and possibly 3 smaller droplets within a distance of one wavelength, but simulations for longer times are required to ascertain to this point. According to the calculations shown in section IV B1, one could estimate the formation of about 6 droplets, based on the wave number of the fastest growing mode. We can also observe that —as a result of the instability process— become more pronounced than others, evolve faster and, as a consequence, those locations attain the pinch off before the other necks. This contrasts the behaviour observed in case (a). Either in dimensionless or in dimensional terms, a remarkable acceleration of the break-up process is observed when $\theta$ is increased.

Finally, let us take case (c) as a base for comparison, and reduce the viscosity 100 times (case (d), Fig. 10). As can be observed, in this case inertia has important effects on the pattern of break up displayed by the liquid filament. During the early stages (up to $t \approx 2294$) of the instability, one can observe the formation of necks about the same locations as in case (c). It is however in late stages when differences appear. When inertia is important compared to viscous forces, the constrictions that—as a result of the instability process—become more pronounced than others, evolve faster and, as a consequence, those locations attain the pinch off before the other necks. This contrasts the behaviour observed in case (c) (Fig. 9), where the break up of the filament seems to be attained more or less at the same time in all the narrowings. For this reason, it is difficult to establish the final pattern of break up in case (d). One can speculate that the evolution would end up with about 5 large droplets and possibly 3 smaller droplets within a distance of one wavelength, but simulations for longer times are required to ascertain to this point. According to the calculations shown in section IV B1, one could estimate the formation of about 6 droplets, based on the wave number of the fastest growing mode. We can also observe that —as a result of the instability process— become more pronounced than others, evolve faster and, as a consequence, those locations attain the pinch off before the other necks. This contrasts the behaviour observed in case (a). Either in dimensionless or in dimensional terms, a remarkable acceleration of the break-up process is observed when $\theta$ is increased.
FIG. 10. The time evolution of a liquid strip with an initial perturbation of wave number $k = 0.1$. The remaining parameters are $\theta = 3\pi/4 \left( \bar{A} = 2.86, \mbox{BoA} = 0.012 \right.$ and $La A^{1/2} = 1.24 \times 10^{4}$. Colours indicate values of pressure (scale on the right of each frame). Instants of dimensionless time are printed for reference. The corresponding dimensional times (considering $R = 1.46 \times 10^{-3} \mbox{m, } \sigma = 0.05 \mbox{N m}^{-1}$ and $\mu = 0.001 \mbox{Pa s}$) are $4.14 \times 10^{-3} \mbox{s, } 6.02 \times 10^{-3} \mbox{s, } 6.72 \times 10^{-3} \mbox{s, } 7.21 \times 10^{-3} \mbox{s, } 7.61 \times 10^{-3} \mbox{s and } 7.72 \times 10^{-3} \mbox{s.}$

is $\sim 13$ times that corresponding to the viscous case (c). Recalling the comparison of pinch-off times of cases (a) and (b), we can infer that the effect of the inertial term in eq. (2) is more important for large contact angles ($\theta$) and hence large dimensionless cross sectional areas ($\bar{A}$). However again, considering that the only change in going from case (c) to (d) is a hundredfold decrease in viscosity, it turns out that, in fact, the dimensional time for filament rupture in case (d) is $\sim 13\%$ of that of case (c). Indeed the dimensional times indicated in Figure 11 are very short, implying (for practical experimental purposes) a near instantaneous break up of a filament as it is being laid down (as opposed to an instability on an already deposited filament).

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we analyse the capillary instability process undergone by a segment of fluid rivulet deposited on a flat solid substrate, with symmetry conditions at both ends of the strip. This allows us to study the time evolution of individual spatial modes and obtain the dispersion relationship of the system. We also analysed the later development of the instability, for liquid filaments several times longer than the computed fastest growing wavelength, until practically a first breakup of the thread is attained.

In real fluid strips, the finite size or any eventual geometric constraints will limit the selection of modes. Intuition indicates that the line of fluid will tend to break up according to the fastest growing spatial mode (among those permitted). Our computations suggest that this reasoning gives in general a very good estimate of the number of the main (in terms of size) resulting droplets, independently of the contact angle or viscosity of the fluid. However, the precise rupture pattern (having droplets both large and small interspersed at varying distances) does seem to depend on these parameters.

One also expects that growth rates give an indication of the time scale of the break up process. According to our results, this is indeed the case when one compares the rupture times of section IV.B.2 with the growth rates computed in section IV.B.1. For contact angles up to $\pi/2$, lubrication-based theories like those of Yang and Homsy $^{15}$ and Diez, González, and Kondic $^{12}$ give an excellent prediction of the critical wave number. Besides, for moderate contact angles (see Fig. 4, corresponding to $\theta = \pi/6$) they also provide a very good estimate of growth rates. Our numerical results including inertia indicate that in this case, the dimensionless growth rate, could be overestimated by roughly $20\%$ when inertia is ignored. However, for larger contact angles (see Fig. 5 for $\theta = \pi/2$ and Fig. 6 for $\theta = 3\pi/4$), growth rates could be overestimated by one order of magnitude when inertia should be important and yet is neglected. This affects considerably the time of rupture of the rivulet (see Figs. 7–10) and could have important implications for practical applications. Note however that if, as in our case, the increase of the relative importance of inertia is achieved by diminishing the viscosity of the liquid, the dimensional growth rates of less viscous fluids are still larger than these of more viscous liquids, though not so large as a theory that ignores inertia would have predicted.

On the other hand, inertia seems to have only a weak influence on the wave number of the fastest-growing mode, according to the results of Figs. 4–6. In general terms, $\hat{k}_M$ increases slightly as $La$ augments.

Our results also suggest that for large contact angles (non-wetting case) the number of satellite droplets that tend to form is larger than for small contact angles (wetting case), at least for viscous liquid filaments. This result is in qualitative agreement with the experiments of González et al. $^{17}$, who observed a large number of satellite droplets in the rupture of viscous strips under partially wetting conditions. Due to limitations in our numerical scheme (simulations stop when the first pinch-off is attained), we can not ascertain to the validity of this statement for less viscous liquid rivulets.

This is a first study of the influence of inertia on the stability of deposited fluid filaments. Future work will complement this investigation and address other topics such as the influence of gravity when contact angles are large, the influence of more complex behaviours of the
contact line, and finite size effects.
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17. This should be contrasted with the smaller contact angle predictions discussed previously (11 large droplets and 3 smaller droplets) so larger contact angles seem to favour the production of small satellites. Note that satellite droplets have been observed experimentally, for example in González et al. 17.