## ADJUSTABLE WETTING PROPERTIES OF PAPERBOARD BY LIQUID FLAME SPRAY PROCESS

Milena Stepien(\*) and Jarkko J. Saarinen(\*) and Hannu Teisala(\*\*) and Mikko Tuominen(\*\*) and Mikko Aromaa(\*\*\*) and Jurkka Kuusipalo(\*\*) and Jyrki M. Mäkelä(\*\*\*) and <u>Martti Toivakka(</u>\*)

(\*) Laboratory of Paper Coating and Converting, Center for Functional Materials, Åbo Akademi University, Åbo /Turku, FI-20500

(\*\*) Paper Converting and Packaging Technology, Department of Energy and Process Engineering, Tampere University of Technology, Tampere , P.O. Box 541, FI-33101

(\*\*\*) Aerosol Physics Laboratory, Department of Physics, Tampere University of Technology, P.O. Box 692, Tampere, FI-33101

Presented at the 15<sup>th</sup> International Coating Science and Technology Symposium, September 13-15, 2010, St. Paul, MN<sup>1</sup>

Keywords: Liquid flame spray process, nanocoatings, wettability, paperboard

Here we demonstrate how to control the wetting properties of paperboard by utilizing liquid flame spray process (LFS), which can be used for creating nanoparticles on surfaces. In our study commercial double pigment coated paperboard was coated by  $TiO_x$  and  $SiO_x$  nanoparticles using titanium (IV) isopropoxide (TTIP) and tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS) precursors dissolved in isopropanol (IPA). We observe a very large difference in water contact angles (CA) between  $TiO_x$  and  $SiO_x$  coated samples with 151° and 21°, respectively. For the reference sample the water CA is 60°. These values are taken 2 seconds after the droplet placement, when the water droplet contact area with the paperboard has stopped to change, but when evaporation has not yet affected the measured values. Figure 1 presents the water CAs as a function of time with the corresponding water droplet images on the coatings and the reference paperboard sample.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Unpublished. ISCST shall not be responsible for statements or opinions contained in papers or printed in its publications.



Figure 1: Water contact angle (CA) for reference (solid),  $TiO_x$  (dash) and  $SiO_x$  (dash dot) coatings as a function of time. The subfigures to the right display the captured images from the measurement at the 2.0 s. The error bars display standard deviations from three measurements.

The surface of paperboard samples was characterized using commercial scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and atomic force microscopy (AFM). Results of topographical characterization are presented in Figures 2 a-f). The SEM image of the reference paperboard sample in Figure 2 a) shows well the platy-like kaolin particles immersed in organic binder, which was used in the coating of the commercial paperboard. Figs. 2 c) and e) illustrate the surface after the LFS process with  $TiO_x$  and  $SiO_x$  nanoparticle coatings, respectively. The nanoparticles are distributed evenly on the surface, and the morphology of both TiOx and SiO<sub>x</sub> nanoparticles appears similar. SEM images clearly show a significant difference between the reference and the nanoparticle coated samples. The paperboard surface is fully covered with spherical particles of approximately 40 - 80 nm in diameter. The estimation of exact sizes is difficult due to particle agglomeration. For a more detailed picture about surface characteristics AFM analysis was applied. Figs 3 b), d) and f) display the surface topography of the reference, the  $TiO_x$  and the  $SiO_x$  nanoparticle coated samples. For  $TiO_x$  particles the surface of the nanoparticles appears to contain nanoscale nodular features, which lead to high nanoscale roughness and may explain the observed superhydrophobic behavior.  $SiO_x$ sample is composed from spherical particles, which seem to be larger than TiO<sub>x</sub> particles, and which remain on the surface as larger and more flat aggregations. In both cases spheres tend to connect to each another creating complex structures.



Figure 2: SEM (to the left) and AFM (to the right) images of the reference sample a, b), TiOx nanoparticle coating c, d) and SiOx nanoparticle coating e, f).

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a method to adjust surface hydrophilicity and hydrophobicity of paperboard. Superhydrophobic surfaces with water contact angle as high as  $151^{\circ}$  were fabricated by  $TiO_x$  coatings and superhydrophilic surfaces with a water contact angle as low as  $21^{\circ}$  were achieved by  $SiO_x$  coatings. In both cases nanocoatings were created by the liquid flame spray method, which, as far as the authors know, has not been used for similar purpose before. Our experiments provide useful information and suggest a new method for paper industry. In addition, both surfaces can be produced by an on-line coating method and successfully applied on paper substrates. We believe that our findings are providing a route for designing other functional surfaces simply by choosing different liquid precursors.

Acknowledgments: This work was funded by the Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation (Tekes) under Liquid flame spray nanocoating for flexible roll-to-roll web materials project (grant no. 40078/08).